

CS 6110 – Formal Methods in System Design | Spring 2015
Jan-26

Lecture 4 First-Order Theories I

Zvonimir Rakamarić
University of Utah

slides acknowledgements: Zohar Manna

Administrivia

- ▶ Homework 1 is due on Wed
- ▶ Class on Wed, Feb 4
 - ▶ Individual meetings in my office to discuss project ideas
 - ▶ 9 registered students – 10 mins each
- ▶ Traveling to NSF: Feb 8-12
- ▶ There will be classes
 - ▶ Prof. Ganesh Gopalakrishnan will cover for me
 - ▶ Model checking using SPIN
 - ▶ Analysis of MPI (i.e., message passing) programs

Last Time

- ▶ First-order logic
 - ▶ Syntax and semantics
 - ▶ Quantifiers
 - ▶ Undecidable
- ▶ Proving validity with semantic argument method

First-Order Theories

- ▶ Software manipulates structures
 - ▶ Numbers, arrays, lists, bitvectors,...
- ▶ Software (and hardware) verification
 - ▶ Reasoning about such structures
- ▶ First-order theories
 - ▶ Formalize structures to enable reasoning about them
 - ▶ Validity is sometimes decidable

Definition

- ▶ **First-order theory** T defined by:
 - ▶ **Signature** Σ_T – set of constant, function, and predicate symbols
 - ▶ Have no meaning
 - ▶ **Axioms** A_T – set of closed (no free variables) Σ_T -formulae
 - ▶ Provide meaning for symbols of Σ_T

Σ_T -formula

- ▶ **Σ_T -formula** is a formula constructed of:
 - ▶ Constants, functions, and predicate symbols from Σ_T
 - ▶ Variables, logical connectives, and quantifiers

T -interpretation

- ▶ Interpretation I is T -interpretation if it satisfies all axioms A_T of T :

$$I \models A \text{ for every } A \in A_T$$

Satisfiability and Validity

- ▶ Σ_T -formula F is **satisfiable in theory T** (T -satisfiable) if there is a T -interpretation I that satisfies F
- ▶ Σ_T -formula F is **valid in theory T** (T -valid, $T \models F$) if every T -interpretation I satisfies F
 - ▶ Theory T consists of all closed T -valid formulae
- ▶ Two Σ_T -formulae F_1 and F_2 are **equivalent in T** (T -equivalent) if $T \models F_1 \leftrightarrow F_2$

Fragment of a Theory

- ▶ **Fragment** of theory T is a syntactically restricted subset of formulae of the theory
- ▶ **Example:**
 - ▶ Quantifier-free fragment of theory T is the set of formulae without quantifiers that are valid in T
- ▶ Often decidable fragments for undecidable theories

Decidability

- ▶ Theory T is **decidable** if T -validity is decidable for every Σ_T -formula F
 - ▶ There is an algorithm that always terminates with “yes” if F is T -valid, and “no” if F is T -invalid
- ▶ Fragment of T is **decidable** if T -validity is decidable for every Σ_T -formula F in the fragment

Common First-Order Theories

- ▶ Theory of equality
- ▶ Peano arithmetic
- ▶ Presburger arithmetic
- ▶ Linear integer arithmetic
- ▶ Reals
- ▶ Rationals
- ▶ Arrays
- ▶ Recursive data structures

Theory of Equality T_E

Signature

$$\Sigma_E : \{=, a, b, c, \dots, f, g, h, \dots, p, q, r, \dots\}$$

consists of:

- ▶ a binary predicate “=” interpreted using provided axioms
- ▶ constant, function, and predicate symbols

Axioms of T_E

1. $\forall x. x=x$ (reflexivity)
2. $\forall x,y. x=y \rightarrow y=x$ (symmetry)
3. $\forall x,y,z. x=y \wedge y=z \rightarrow x=z$ (transitivity)
4. for each positive int. n and n -ary function symbol f ,
 $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n. \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i = y_i \right) \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$
 (function congruence)
5. for each positive int. n and n -ary predicate symbol p ,
 $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n. \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i = y_i \right) \rightarrow (p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow p(y_1, \dots, y_n))$
 (predicate congruence)

Decidability of T_E

- ▶ Bad news
 - ▶ T_E is undecidable
- ▶ Good news
 - ▶ Quantifier-free fragment of T_E is decidable
 - ▶ Very efficient algorithms

Z3 Example

$$x=y \wedge y=z \rightarrow g(f(x),y)=g(f(z),x)$$

Arithmetic: Natural Numbers and Integers

Natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{0,1,2,\dots\}$

Integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots,-2,-1,0,1,2,\dots\}$

Three theories:

- ▶ **Peano arithmetic** T_{PA}
 - ▶ Natural numbers with addition (+), multiplication (*), equality (=)
- ▶ **Presburger arithmetic** $T_{\mathbb{N}}$
 - ▶ Natural numbers with addition (+), equality (=)
- ▶ **Theory of integers** $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$
 - ▶ Integers with addition (+), subtraction (-), comparison (>), equality (=), multiplication by constants

Peano Arithmetic T_{PA}

$\Sigma_{PA} : \{0, 1, +, *, =\}$

- ▶ T_{PA} -satisfiability and T_{PA} -validity are undecidable
 - ▶ Restrict the theory more

Presburger Arithmetic $T_{\mathbb{N}}$

$\Sigma_{\mathbb{N}} : \{0, 1, +, =\}$ no multiplication!

Axioms:

1. equality axioms for =
2. $\forall x. \neg(x+1=0)$ (zero)
3. $\forall x, y. x+1=y+1 \rightarrow x=y$ (successor)
4. $F[0] \wedge (\forall x. F[x] \rightarrow F[x+1]) \rightarrow \forall x. F[x]$ (induction)
5. $\forall x. x+0=x$ (plus zero)
6. $\forall x, y. x+(y+1)=(x+y)+1$ (plus successor)

Decidability of $T_{\mathbb{N}}$

- ▶ $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ -satisfiability and $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ -validity are decidable

Theory of Integers $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$

$\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}} : \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, -3^*, -2^*, 2^*, 3^*, \dots, +, -, =, >\}$

where

- ▶ $\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are constants
- ▶ $\dots, -3^*, -2^*, 2^*, 3^*, \dots$ are unary functions
(intended meaning: 2^*x is $x+x$, -3^*x is $-x-x-x$)
- ▶ $+, -, >, =$ have the usual meaning
- ▶ $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ have the same expressiveness
 - ▶ Every $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -formula can be reduced to $\Sigma_{\mathbb{N}}$ -formula
 - ▶ Every $\Sigma_{\mathbb{N}}$ -formula can be reduced to $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -formula

Example of $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ Reduction

Consider $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -formula

$$F_0 : \forall w, x. \exists y, z. x + 2 * y - z - 13 > -3 * w + 5$$

Introduce two variables v_p and v_n (range over natural numbers) for each variable v (range over integers) in F_0 :

$$F_1 : \forall w_p, w_n, x_p, x_n. \exists y_p, y_n, z_p, z_n. \\ (x_p - x_n) + 2 * (y_p - y_n) - (z_p - z_n) - 13 > -3 * (w_p - w_n) + 5$$

Eliminate $-$ by moving to the other side of $>$:

$$F_2 : \forall w_p, w_n, x_p, x_n. \exists y_p, y_n, z_p, z_n. \\ x_p + 2 * y_p + z_n + 3 * w_p > x_n + 2 * y_n + z_p + 13 + 3 * w_n + 5$$

Example of $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ Reduction cont.

Eliminate $*$ and $>$:

$$F_3 : \forall w_p, w_n, x_p, x_n. \exists y_p, y_n, z_p, z_n. \exists u. \neg(u=0) \wedge \\ x_p + y_p + y_p + z_n + w_p + w_p + w_p \\ = x_n + y_n + y_n + z_p + w_n + w_n + w_n + u \\ + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 \\ + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$$

► F_3 is a $\Sigma_{\mathbb{N}}$ -formula equisatisfiable to F_0

Example of $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ to $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ Reduction

Consider $\Sigma_{\mathbb{N}}$ -formula

$$F: \forall x. \exists y. x=y+1$$

F is equisatisfiable to $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -formula

$$\forall x. x > -1 \rightarrow \exists y. y > -1 \wedge x=y+1$$

Decidability of $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$

- ▶ $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -satisfiability and $T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -validity are decidable

Z3 Example

$$x > z \wedge y \geq 0 \rightarrow x + y > z$$

Next Time

- ▶ More on first-order theories
 - ▶ Arithmetic with rationals and reals
 - ▶ Arrays
 - ▶ Recursive data structures
- ▶ Complexities for theories